

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/707,288	12/03/2003	Rainer Klaus Krause	DE920020040US1	1287
32074 75	590 03/22/2006		EXAMINER	
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION			WALKE, AMANDA C	
DEPT. 18G BLDG. 300-482	2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2070 ROUTE 52 HOPEWELL JUNCTION, NY 12533			1752	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_				
Office Action Summary		10/707,288	KRAUSE ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit	_				
_		Amanda C. Walke	1752	_				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ja</u>	anuary 2006.						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) 15 is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the l	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application of the second strain of the second	on No ed in this National Stage					
2)  Notic 3)  Infon	t(s)  e of References Cited (PTO-892)  e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	•					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

In light of the arguments presented in the response of 1/3/2006, the rejection of record has been dropped and anew rejection follows.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCulloch et al (6,060,212) in view of Brewer et al (4,950,583).

McCulloch et al disclose a photoresist composition and method of forming a pattern which may include a step of depositing an adhesion promoting layer onto the substrate, then depositing a polymerization layer onto the treated substrate, pre-baked/ UV cured, followed by exposure and development to form a pattern, and etching the pattern into the underlying substrate/ wafer. The adhesion promoter may be any known, such as a hexa-alkyl disilazane. The reference teaches that an adhesion layer may be employed, but fails to teach a specific method including steps of applying and treating that layer during pattern formation.

Brewer et al disclose a method of forming a pattern including initial steps of depositing a an adhesion promoting layer onto the substrate, baking/ curing then depositing a polymerization layer onto the treated substrate, followed by exposure and development to form a pattern.

Given the teachings of the reference, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare the material of the reference choosing to employ the method of McCulloch

et al choosing to add the adhesion promoting layer and bake/ cure it prior to coating the photoresist/ polymerization layer with reasonable expectation of achieving a pattern having high resolution.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 15 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record fails to teach the use of an azomonochlorosilane adhesionpromoter or initiator type compound to be coated onto a substrate in the instantly claimed method. Schmidt (6,946,390 or WO 02/054458) teach such a compound and method, however, the publication date of the WO reference and the filing date of the US patent are later than applicant's foreign priority date therefore the references do not qualify as prior art.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 4. disclosure. Zhou et al (6,969,690), Smela et al (6,103,399), Chien (6,577,802), Cross et al (6,884,314), and Kinneberg (6,020,028) are cited for their teachings of similar materials and methods.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda C. Walke whose telephone number is 571-272-1337. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 5:30-4.

Art Unit: 1752

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Amanda C Walke Examiner Page 4

Art Unit 1752

ACW March 17, 2006